	Case 3:10-cv-01588-DMS -AJB Document	1 Filed 0/1/29/10 Page 1 of 14
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Lisel M. Ferguson (Bar No. 207637)  Imf@procopio.com Kathleen A. Brown (Bar No. 145255)  kap@procopio.com PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP 525 B Street, Suite 2200 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-1900 Facsimile: (619) 235-0398  Attorneys for Plaintiff EQUOTE, a California corporation	IOJUL 29 PM 1:58  CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EDITHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  GY:  WHEN DEPUTY
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
10	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	EQUOTE, a California corporation,	Case No.: '10 CV 1588 DMS AJE
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:
13	v.	(1) TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT 15 U.S.C. § 1114;
14 15 16 17 18	LEV BARINSKY, an individual; INSURANCEAGENTS.COM, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company; INSURANCELEADZ.COM, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company; INSURANCE CONSULTANTS, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company, Defendants.	<ul> <li>(2) UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER THE LANHAM ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1125(a);</li> <li>(3) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER THE LANHAM ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1116;</li> <li>(4) UNFAIR COMPETITION AND TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17790, AND</li> <li>(5) NEGLIGENT INTERFERENCE WITH ECONOMIC RELATIONS</li> </ul>
20	·	(Trademark infringement)
21		[PLAINTIFFS DEMAND JURY TRIAL
22		PER F.R.C.P. 38]
23	Plaintiff EQUOTE (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" or "EQUOTE") alleges as	
24	follows:	
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
26	1. This action arises under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121, 1116, 1125a),	
27	and 1125(d), et seq., and related claims. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction of this	
28		

Q

civil action under and by virtue of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 1338(b) and pursuant to the doctrine of supplemental jurisdiction.

2. This Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as there is complete diversity between Plaintiff and Defendants, and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.

#### **VENUE**

3. Venue in this action properly lies in the Southern District of California under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(a) as the Defendants sell services to clients within California and this judicial district, the Defendants have conducted business, published websites and have derived significant income from the sale of services to the public within this judicial district.

#### THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, EQUOTE, is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in the County of San Diego, State of California.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant LEV BARINSKY ("BARINSKY") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing in Columbus, Ohio and conducting business nationwide.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant INSURANCEAGENTS.COM, LLC ("INSURANCEAGENTS") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a limited liability company duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Ohio, and conducting business nationwide.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant INSURANCELEADZ.COM, LLC ("INSURANCELEADZ") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a limited liability company duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Ohio, and conducting business nationwide.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant INSURANCE CONSULTANTS, LLC ("INSURANCE CONSULTANTS") is, and at all

4

8

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 times mentioned herein was, a limited liability company duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Ohio, and conducting business nationwide. All named defendants will be collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

#### **BACKGROUND FACTS**

- 9. Since February 9, 2000, Plaintiff has continuously provided insurance brokerage and agency services. Plaintiff provides online access to life insurance, longterm care insurance, general insurance, property insurance, casualty insurance, disability insurance, and annuities services. Plaintiff's services are offered and sold under the trademark "Equote." Plaintiff is recognized by the consuming public under its "Equote" trademark as an insurance service provider. Plaintiff offers and sells its services nationwide.
- 10. Plaintiff has not only used its mark and name "Equote" (sometimes referred to as its "Trademark") to brand its services; it has offered and created a unique website, and prepared marketing material and press releases for its services sold under its Trademark. Plaintiff has also utilized its Trademark extensively in television segments, newspaper articles, on the radio, at awards ceremonies, in front of groups during talks at conventions, in PowerPoint presentations, on DVD's, in trade magazine articles and ads, and at charity events. Plaintiff has spent over ten years promoting its insurance services and utilizing its Equote trademark.
- 11. Throughout the United States, Plaintiff has promoted itself under its Trademark as a provider of insurance services by using its Trademark on its building, on signage, in documents, on business cards, in ads, and in connection with networking groups and in conversation. The consuming public associates Plaintiff's Trademark with its services.
- 12. Plaintiff has been continuously utilizing its Trademark for insurance services in commerce since February 2000.
- 13. Plaintiff owns two United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") registrations for the mark "Equote." The first is Reg. No. 3,487,361 and the second is

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 Reg. No. 3,669,303. Both registrations recite a date of first use in commerce of February 2000.

- 14. Defendants are using the mark "Equote" on all of their websites and in their Defendants have at least four domain names that contain the mark domain names. "Equote." The domain (1) www.equotelifeinsurance.com; names are: (3) www.equotecarinsurance.com; and (2) www.equotehealthinsurance.com; (4) www.equotehomeinsurance.com (collectively, the "Infringing Marks"). Defendants are using the Infringing Marks to market and sell insurance services that are identical to those offered and sold by Plaintiff under its Equote trademark.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the Defendants have passed their services off in a manner calculated to deceive Plaintiff's customers and members of the general public, and that Defendants have copied Plaintiff's Trademark in an effort to confuse the public into believing that Defendants and Plaintiff are one and the same, or that Defendants are connected in some way to Plaintiff.
- 16. Plaintiff has sent a cease-and-desist request to Defendants and asked Defendants to cease the use of the name "Equote" as it is confusingly similar to Plaintiff's Trademark. Defendants have not ceased from using the mark.
- Many of Plaintiff's customers, and potential customers, have already 17. complained to Plaintiff stating that they were confused as to the difference between Plaintiff and Defendants' services. These clients wanted to know if Defendants and EQUOTE were in business together because the services seemed the same. EQUOTE has likely already lost sales to Defendants.
- 18. The natural, probable and foreseeable result of Defendants' wrongful conduct has been to deprive, and it will continue to deprive, Plaintiff of the benefits of the sale of its services and to deprive Plaintiff of its goodwill, and to injure Plaintiff's relations with present and prospective customers.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it has lost, 19. and will continue to lose, substantial revenues from Defendants' use of the Mark

"Equote," to market and sell insurance services. Defendants' wrongful conduct will also deprive Plaintiff of the opportunity of expanding its goodwill.

- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue their current course of conduct, wrongfully using, infringing upon, selling and otherwise profiting from Plaintiff's tradename, likeness, and marketing strategies.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff has already suffered irreparable damage and lost profits. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress all of the injuries that Defendants have caused and intend to cause by their conduct. Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable damage and sustain loss of profits until Defendants' actions alleged above are enjoined by this Court.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff seeks damages to compensate it for lost profits and loss of goodwill that Defendants have caused.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Federal Trademark Infringement [15 U.S.C. § 1114])

- 23. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs 1 through 22 above by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. The actions of Defendants described herein constitute infringement of the "Equote" Trademarks in violation of section 32(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).
- 25. Defendants' willful, deliberate and unauthorized use of the "Equote" Trademark has caused confusion and is likely to continue causing confusion, mistakes and deception because consumers are likely to believe that Defendants' services are associated with, connected to, affiliated with, authorized by, endorsed by, and/or sponsored by EQUOTE, in violation of Section 32(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).
  - 26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unauthorized use of the

"Equote" Trademark, Defendants have damaged and will continue to damage Plaintiff's goodwill and reputation, and have caused and are likely to continue causing Plaintiff a loss of sales and profits. Defendants' actions have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff and to the public, who is confused by Defendants' unauthorized use of the "Equote" Trademark, unless restrained and enjoined by this Court. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to prevent Defendants from continuing their infringing actions and from injuring Plaintiff.

- 27. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged and will continue to sustain damage and is entitled to receive compensation arising from its lost sales, lost profits, and efforts necessary to minimize and/or prevent customer confusion, in an amount to be proven at the time of trial. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorge Defendants' profits, and is entitled to interest and to its attorney's fees and costs incurred bringing this action, all in an amount to be proven at the time of trial. Plaintiff is further entitled to injunctive relief as set forth above, and to all other and further forms of relief this Court deems appropriate.
- 28. The damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the conduct alleged herein should be trebled in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b).

# **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

## (Unfair Competition Under Lanham Act [15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)])

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 28 herein above as though the same were set forth in full herein.
  - 30. Plaintiff provides high quality insurance services.
- 31. Defendants provide identical and similar services using the same name, "Equote" on their websites and in their domain names. The services provided by Defendants under their Infringing Marks will confuse and deceive the public into thinking that the services sold by Defendants are Plaintiff's services, or that there is some connection or affiliation between Plaintiff and Defendants.
  - 32. Defendants have placed the Infringing Services in interstate commerce.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of trial.

1

33.

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

will lose income from the sale of those services diverted away by Defendants. Further,

Plaintiff will lose goodwill because of the potentially poor quality of Defendants' services

and advertisements used in connection with Defendants' Infringing Services. Moreover,

Defendants' Infringing Services are likely to be inferior to those offered by Plaintiff,

which will reflect negatively on Plaintiff, thus harming Plaintiff's business reputation. As

a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff will be damaged,

and is thus entitled to relief in an amount to be determined according to proof at the time

As a result of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff will continue to suffer harm and

# (Injunctive Relief Under Lanham Act [15 U.S.C. § 1116])

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 33 herein above as though the same were set forth in full herein.
- 35. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants knowingly and willfully copied and are using Plaintiff's Trademark and service likeness including similar advertising text. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants copied the tradename for the specific purposes of infringing upon Plaintiff's Trademark "Equote" and falsely designating Plaintiff's services as their own.
- 36. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants intend to and will continue their course of conduct, wrongfully advertising, using, infringing upon, selling and otherwise profiting from Plaintiff's Trademark and product likeness. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of Defendants, Plaintiff will suffer irreparable damage and will sustain lost profits. Plaintiff will lose the benefit of the advertising and goodwill for which Plaintiff has expended large sums of money, time and effort promoting during the past nine years, and Plaintiff will also lose large sums of money in diverted business.
- 37. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to address all of the injuries Defendants have caused, and intend to cause by their conduct. Plaintiff will suffer

(Unfair Competition and Tradename Infringement California Business and Professions Code § 17790)

38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 herein above as though the same were set forth in full herein.

irreparable damage and sustain loss of profits until Defendants' actions alleged herein are

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 39. This is a cause of action under California state law pursuant to the Business and Professions Code § 17790.
- 40. While continuously using the Trademarks for "Equote" in the United States for ten years, Plaintiff has developed valuable goodwill in its Trademarks, which have come to be associated exclusively with Plaintiff's business by the general public throughout the United States.
- 41. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants had actual knowledge of the existence of Plaintiff's use of its Trademarks.
- 42. Defendants' service name websites and domain names are deceptively similar to Plaintiff's name and Trademarks. The use of these deceptively similar names by Defendants caused and will continue to cause the public, prospective customers, creditors, suppliers and others to confuse Plaintiff with Defendants and vice versa. Plaintiff has made demand on Defendants to cease and desist using the name "Equote," but Defendants have continued to use this name despite Plaintiff's demand and will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 43. Plaintiff is the original user and owner of the Trademark "Equote" for insurance services and the name has acquired, in the minds of the purchasing public, a secondary meaning apart from its primary and descriptive significance. It has also become a distinctive tradename denoting to the eye and mind of the public a level of care, skill, industry, reliability, and individuality resulting in a reputation for excellence and high quality insurance services throughout the United States. Due to such reputation and

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

public awareness. Plaintiff has established considerable goodwill in connection with the Trademark "Equote".

- Defendants essentially sell the same services as Plaintiff. Defendants' 44. service name, "Equote" is identical to Plaintiff's name, and Defendants' use of that name creates a likelihood that Plaintiff's current customers, potential customers, and the general public will be confused or misled as to the source of goods or services because Defendants' business is identical to or appears to be affiliated with Plaintiff. Defendants' conduct amounts to unfair competition prohibited by the California Business and Professions Code.
- 45. Defendant threatens to, and unless restrained, will continue to use the mark "Equote." As a result, the general public will be misled and deceived into believing that Defendants are Plaintiff or that there is an affiliation with Plaintiff, all to the irreparable injury of Plaintiff's business and goodwill, and to the unjust enrichment of Defendants. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law because it is extremely difficult to ascertain the damage proximately caused to Plaintiff's business and goodwill.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Negligent Interference with Economic Relations)

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 45 herein above as though the same were set forth in full herein.
- Plaintiff provides high-end services which it markets to the public. 47. Plaintiff's services are well developed and researched, and are highly regarded. Plaintiff has extensive experience and involvement with the insurance industry.
- 48. Plaintiff derives much of its income from repeat business from its customers. Once a party uses Plaintiff's services, they generally return in the future. Plaintiff reasonably relies on this return business for much of its income. Plaintiff's ability to earn the overhead and profit generated by these relationships will be directly affected by Defendants' interference with Plaintiff's customers.
  - 49. Defendants know that Plaintiff is engaged in the business of insurance

6

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

services nationwide, to a varied clientele. Based on information and belief, Defendants also have and have had knowledge of the terms and conditions of the contracts and relationships between Plaintiff and its customers.

- Despite said knowledge, Defendants have negligently obstructed and 50. interfered with Plaintiff's right to conduct business, and the profit generated thereby, thus affecting Plaintiff's ability to successfully operate its business.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff 51. will be damaged, and is thus entitled to relief in an amount to be determined according to proof at the time of trial.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

## **ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:**

- 1. For actual damages according to proof at trial;
- 2. For damages for lost profits and goodwill in a sum according to proof at trial pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125;
- For attorney's fees for wrongful willful and deliberate action under 17 3. U.S.C. § 1051, et seq.;
  - For costs of suit incurred herein; and 4.
  - For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 5.

## ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. That Defendants, their agents, servants, officers, directors, employees, representatives and each of them, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from using the mark "Equote" in any manner, and from the following activities;
  - 2. Soliciting and/or selling products or services by the name of "Equote";
  - Using the "Equote" trademark or product likeness; and 3.
  - Publishing or distributing advertisements or articles using the term 4.

Case 3:10-cv-01588-DM&r&rB @ownershr Filed 07/29/10 Page 12 of 14 S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) in neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of ngs or other papers as required by law, except as provided The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **DEFENDANTS** PLAINTIFFS (a) LEV BARINSKY, an individual; INSURANCEAGENTS.COM, EOUOTE, a California corporation. LLC, an Ohio limited liability company, INSURANCELEADZ.COM, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company; INSURANCE CONSULTANTS, LLC, an Ohio limited trability company, (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff California County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Ohio (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) **10** CV 1588 DMS AJB Lisel M. Ferguson (Bar No. 207637) PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP 530 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-1900 Facsimile: (619) 235-0398 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place **4** □ 4 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Question Citizen of This State of Business In This State Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Incorporated and Principal Place 5 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State □ 3 □ 6 □ 6 Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS 400 State Reapportionment PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 110 Insurance 410 Antitrust 120 Marine 620 Other Food & Drug 423 Withdrawal 362 Personal Injury-310 Airplane 625 Drug Related Seizure 28 USC 157 430 Banks and Banking 130 Miller Act Med. Malpractice 315 Airplane Product 450 Commerce of Property 21 USC 881 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 365 Personal Injury – 630 Liquor Laws 150 Recovery of Overpayment 460 Deportation 320 Assault, Libel & PROPERTY RIGHTS Product Liability 470 Racketeer Influenced and & Enforcement of Judgment 368 Asbestos Personal 640 R.R. & Truck Slander 820 Copyrights 151 Medicare Act 650 Airline Regs. Corrupt Organizations 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product 830 Patent 152 Recovery of Defaulted 660 Occupational 480 Consumer Credit Liability Liability 840 Trademark 490 Cable/Sat TV Safety/Health Student Loans PERSONAL PROPERTY 340 Marine 810 Selective Service 690 Other (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud 850 Securities/Commodities/ ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 371 Truth in Lending LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY Exchange of Veteran's Benefits 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal 875 Customer Challenge 160 Stockholders' Suits 710 Fair Labor Standards 861 HIA (1395tt)
862 Black Lung (923)
863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
864 SSID Title XVI
865 RSI (405(g))
890 Other Statutory Actions
891 Agricultural Acts
892 Economic Stabilization Act
893 Environmental Matters
894 Energy Allocation Act 861 HIA (1395ff) 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 196 Franchise 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting **REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS** PRISONER PETITIONS & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate 394 Energy Allocation Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 220 Foreclosure 442 Employment Sentence 895 Freedom of Information FEDERAL TAX SUITS 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Security Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 240 Torts to Land Accommodations 530 General 900Appeal of Fee Determination or Defendant) 245 Tort Product Liability 535 Death Penalty 444 Welfare Under Equal Access 871 IRS--Third Party 540 Mandamus & Other 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities **IMMIGRATION** to Justice 26 USC 7609 950 Constitutionality of Employment 550 Civil Rights 462 Naturalization Application 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 555 Prison Condition State Statutes 463 Habeas Corpus -Other Alien Detainee ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights 465 Other Immigration Appeal to District V. ORIGIN Transferred from (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☑ 1 Original 5 another district ☐ 6 Multidistrict 7 Judge from ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or Magistrate Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Judgment Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1125(a) VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** JURY DEMAND: ☑ Yes ☐ No **COMPLAINT:** UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER TURE OF ATTERNEY OF BECORD DATE July 29, 2010 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY 10 AMOUNT \$350° American LegalNet, Inc. RECEIPT # 16390 JUDGE MAG. JUDGE APPLYING IFP www.FormsWorkflow.com

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348, Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: <u>Unauthorized reception of cable service</u>

Requested in Complaint, Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS016390 Cashier ID: bhartman

Transaction Date: 07/29/2010

Payer Name: KNOX ATTORNEY SERVICES

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: EQUOTE V BARINKSY ET AL

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-001588-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 9031

Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00

Total Tendered: \$350.00 Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.